

Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

MATTER OF G- INC

DATE: JULY 2, 2019

APPEAL OF TEXAS SERVICE CENTER DECISION

PETITION: FORM I-140, IMMIGRANT PETITION FOR ALIEN WORKER

The Petitioner, a mobile applications development technology and management IT consulting firm, seeks to employ the Beneficiary as a senior programmer analyst. It requests classification of the Beneficiary as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree under the second preference immigrant category. Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 203(b)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2). This employment-based "EB-2" immigrant classification allows a U.S. employer to sponsor a professional with an advanced degree for lawful permanent resident status.

The Director of the Texas Service Center denied the petition on the ground that the Petitioner did not establish its ability to pay the proffered wage. On appeal the Petitioner asserts that it has the ability to pay the proffered wage based on the totality of its Petitioner's circumstances, and also on the basis of its net current assets which the Petitioner asserts were greater than the proffered wage in the first three quarters of 2018.

Upon *de novo* review, we will withdraw the Director's decision and remand the case for further consideration and the issuance of a new decision.

I. LAW

Employment-based immigration generally follows a three-step process. First, an employer obtains an approved labor certification from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). *See* section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(5)(A)(i). By approving the labor certification, the DOL certifies that there are insufficient U.S. workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available for the offered position and that employing a foreign national in the position will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of domestic workers similarly employed. *See* section 212(a)(5)(A)(i)(I)-(II) of the Act. Second, the employer files an immigrant visa petition with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). *See* section 204 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154. Third, if USCIS approves the petition, the foreign national may apply for an immigrant visa abroad or, if eligible, adjustment of status in the United States. *See* section 245 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255.

To be eligible for the classification it requests for the beneficiary, a petitioner must establish that it has the ability to pay the proffered wage stated in the labor certification. As provided in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2):

The petitioner must demonstrate this ability at the time the priority date is established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence. Evidence of this ability shall be either in the form of copies of annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements. In a case where the prospective United States employer employs 100 or more workers, the director may accept a statement from a financial officer of the organization which establishes the prospective employer's ability to pay the proffered wage. In appropriate cases, additional evidence, such as profit/loss statements, bank account records, or personnel records, may be submitted by the petitioner or requested by [USCIS].

II. ANALYSIS

As indicated in the above regulation, the Petitioner must establish its continuing ability to pay the proffered wage from the priority date¹ of the petition onward. The priority date in this case is July 14, 2018. The labor certification states that the wage offered for the job of senior programmer analyst is \$107,806 per year. The Director found that the record did not demonstrate the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage in 2016 and 2017. However, as the priority date occurred in 2018, that is the first year for which the Petitioner must demonstrate its ability to pay. When the record was before the Director, evidence of the Petitioner's ability to pay in 2018 was not available. Therefore we will remand the matter to the Director to request regulatory required evidence, as specified in 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2), of the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage in 2018.

The Petitioner may also submit materials in support of the factors discussed in *Matter of Sonegawa*, 12 I&N Dec. 612, 614-15 (Reg'l Comm'r 1967). As the Petitioner argues and *Sonegawa* requires, the Director on remand must consider the totality of the circumstances affecting the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, we will remand this case to the Director for further consideration of the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage from the priority date onward.

ORDER: The Director's decision is withdrawn. The matter is remanded for the entry of a new decision consistent with the foregoing analysis.

Cite as *Matter of G- Inc*, ID# 4636796 (AAO July 2, 2019)

-

¹ The "priority date" of a petition is the date the underlying labor certification is filed with the DOL. See 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(d). The Petitioner must establish that all eligibility requirements for the petition have been satisfied as of the priority date.